

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3459 of 1990

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

K.I. PATEL

Versus

PALANPUR MUNICIPALITY

Appearance:

MR MK OZA for Petitioner
MR SV RAJU for Respondent No. 1
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

Date of decision: 25/04/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

None appears for the petitioner. I have heard
Mr. Chetan Pandya, learned counsel for Mr. S.V.Raju,
learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1
-Palanpur Municipality. Learned counsel for the

respondent submitted that the present writ petition has been filed challenging the order of suspension of the petitioner passed by the Municipality on 21st April, 1990. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the respondent that the respondent Municipality, by an order dt. 11th March, 1991 reinstated the petitioner after revoking the order of suspension. In view of the fact that the petitioner has been reinstated in service, I am of the view that the present petition has become infructuous. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged. I make no order as to costs.

Date: 25/4/2000. (P.K.SARKAR, J.)

ccshah